UNITED STATES OF AMERICA § **CASE NUMBER 9:19-CR-00045-RC** \$ \$ \$ \$ v. TRAVIS LANE FAVRO

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON THE DEFENDANT'S COMPETENCY TO STAND TRIAL

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and the Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, this criminal proceeding is before the undersigned United States magistrate judge.

On December 10, 2019, the Defendant filed a motion seeking a psychiatric or psychological exam to determine if the Defendant was suffering from a mental disease or defect rendering him mentally incompetent to the extent he is unable to understand the nature and consequences of the proceedings against him or to assist properly in his defense, and the court granted that motion on December 11, 2019. (Doc. No. 17, 18.) The Defendant was subsequently evaluated by Dr. Lea Ann Preston Baecht, Ph.D., ABPP, Licensed Forensic Psychologist at the Federal Correctional Institution in Springfield, MO and found competent.

Dr. Baecht's psychiatric report concludes that the Defendant "is currently competent to proceed. He does not currently display symptoms of a mental illness which would impact his competency-related abilities. He has a factual and rational understanding of the nature and potential consequences of the proceedings against him and he has the ability to assist properly in his defense if he chooses to do so." (Doc. No. 52.)

A competency hearing was conducted on July 21, 2021. At the hearing, the Defendant appeared in court with his counsel, Ryan Gertz. Ryan Gertz did not present any objections to Dr. Baecht's opinion on competency. Neither party objected to the admissibility of the psychological report detailing the results and findings, therefore, the court admitted it into evidence under seal.

The undersigned concludes that the Defendant is able to understand the nature and consequences of the proceedings against him and to assist properly in his defense. The Defendant has a rational and factual understanding of the proceeding against him, and has sufficient present ability to consult with his attorney with a reasonable degree of rational understanding. 18 U.S.C. § 4241(d); *see also Dusky v. United States*, 362 U.S. 402 (1960)

RECOMMENDATION

The court should find the Defendant competent to stand trial because he understands the nature and consequences of the proceeding against him and is able to assist in his defense. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 4241. It is further recommended that the speedy trial time be excluded from December 10, 2019 (the date the Defendant filed an Unopposed Motion for Psychiatric Exam), until the date on which the District Judge signs the order adopting this report and recommendation.

OBJECTIONS

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), each party to this action has the right to file objections to this Report and Recommendation. Objections to this Report must (1) be in writing, (2) specifically identify those findings or recommendations to which the party objects, (3) be served and filed within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy of this Report, and (4) be no more than eight (8) pages in length. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); FED. R. CRIM. P.

59(b)(2); E.D. TEX. CRIM. R. CR-59(b). A party who objects to this Report is entitled to a *de novo* determination by the United States District Judge of those proposed findings and recommendations to which a specific objection is timely made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); FED. R. CRIM. P. 59(b)(3).

A party's failure to file specific, written objections to the proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law contained in this report, within fourteen (14) days of being served with a copy of this report, bars that party from: (1) entitlement to *de novo* review by the United States District Judge of the findings of fact and conclusions of law, *see Rodriguez v. Bowen*, 857 F.2d 275, 276–77 (5th Cir. 1988), and (2) appellate review, except on grounds of plain error, of any such findings of fact and conclusions of law accepted by the United States District Judge, *see Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, at 1428–29 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

SIGNED this 22nd day of July, 2021.

Zack Hawthorn

United States Magistrate Judge